

# Continuum of Care Training

March 13, 2008

Presented by:

Tammy Weaver, M. Ed., L.P.C.

Chief Officer, Coleman Behavioral  
Health, Trumbull County



# Continuum of Care Debriefing

- 449 COCs awarded funding
- 8% of funding went to new projects
- 60% of funds are used for housing
- 24% of funds used for chronically homeless
- 199 COCs received the Samaritan Bonus
- Programs had to score at least 90.25

# Scoring Summary – Org. Structure

- Completely fill out charts and note when not applicable is appropriate
- Make sure math is correct
- Show that your process of selection is open, fair and transparent

# COC Scoring – Housing and Service Needs

- Housing Inventory Charts – be sure to be accurate and fill in all cells
- Family units not listed when there were family beds listed, target population missing, HMIS and Geocodes missing, HMIS was overstated

# Scoring Summary

- COC Coordination Chart – more yes answers indicates more “high level” coordination
- Watch for math errors in project priorities
- Should have indications that beds for CH are increasing and the # of CH persons is decreasing

# Leveraging

- What is it?
- How is it different from match?
- What is allowable?
- What is not allowable?
- How do you track the leveraging funds?

# Leveraging

- What is it?
- You want to count all supplemental resources that are supporting the people in the project
- Mental Health, substance abuse, home health care, dental, other health care, education, employment, MRDD, Veteran's, volunteers, child care

# Tracking Leveraging

- Set up a spreadsheet – see example
- Each organization needs to be responsible for tracking the costs
- The identified costs need to meet or exceed what was put in the letter of support
- COCs that showed 200% or greater leveraging funds got full credit

# Performance Objectives

- Taken directly from your APR
- Permanent housing: % who stayed 7 months or longer in PSH
- Transitional housing: % who move from transitional housing to permanent housing
- Employment: % of persons exiting with employment income

# Consequences

- You lose points in those areas, thus becoming less competitive. With a base score of 90.25 there is little room to lose points.
- The national targets for 2007 were 71% for PSH, 61.5% for Transitional housing and 18% for employment.
- These were all exceeded nationwide

# Issues with Performance

- Is your APR data accurate?
- Are you calculating the information correctly?
- Are you screening people and admitting the most appropriate people?
- Are you identifying the correct program – TH or PSH?

# Wrap up

- What is working?
- What is not working?
- What are some best practices?
- What else do you need training on?
- Where did the COC lose points?
- What are you doing to improve those areas?